

Coset-Space String Compactification Leading to 14 Subcritical Dimensions

A.M. Gavrilik^a

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics
03143 Kiev-143, Ukraine

Received 7 September 1999

Abstract. Using sigma-model approach, we study a class of coset spaces with torsion which compactify the $D = 26$ closed bose-string theory. Requiring also that massless chiral fermions arise from the geometry/topology of coset space, we are left with the unique possibility: it implies $D = 14$ subcritical dimensions and the isometry group $G_2 \times G_2$.

Keywords: String compactification, sigma-model, torsion, coset spaces

PACS: 11.25.-w; 11.25.Mj

1. Introduction

The common belief now is that an adequate construct of TOE (theory of everything) will employ some version of string theory [1]. With impact on 3+1 phenomenology, various compactifications were studied [1,2] in the context of ten dimensional (super) strings. These latter however are known to result [3], via dimensional reduction using 16-torus, from the $D = 26$ bosonic string theory which is thus the only one preserving the *status of unique string theory*. No doubt, it is of great importance to study other (than toroidal) ways of bose-string compactification starting directly from $D = 26$. For this purpose, more than a decade ago in [4] we proposed to use certain compact coset spaces K (of dimension d) with nontrivial torsion for getting compactifications of the form

$$M^{26} \rightarrow M^{26-d} \times K^d, \quad (1)$$

and studied their properties using sigma-model approach (previously applied for superstring compactifications, see e.g., [1,2,5]). Here, after a sketch of main facts from [4], we present arguments in favour of definite unique compactification which fixes (i) the number 14 of subcritical dimensions (SCDs), (ii) gauge group in $D = 14$ stemming from isometry of compactifying coset space, and provides chiral fermions.

2. Sigma-Model β -Functions and Consistency Equations

Action for string propagation in the background metric, antisymmetric-tensor and dilaton fields $G_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and Φ is taken as [6]

$$S = S_G + S_B + S_\Phi$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\xi [G_{\mu\nu}(X)\partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\alpha X^\nu + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} B_{\mu\nu}(X)\partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu] + S_\Phi. \quad (2)$$

Conformal (Weyl) anomaly of such generalized 2d σ -model consists of three terms,

$$C.A. = \beta_{\mu\nu}^G \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\alpha X^\nu + \beta_{\mu\nu}^B \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu + \beta^\Phi \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{g} R^{(2)}. \quad (3)$$

Clearly, it should vanish for the consistency of string propagation in these backgrounds, that implies vanishing of their beta-functions: $\beta_{\mu\nu}^G = \beta_{\mu\nu}^B = \beta^\Phi = 0$. Remark that the phenomenon of "geometrostasis" due to presence of torsion [7] is crucial in order to guarantee such vanishing (or, the appearance of nontrivial fixed point in the space of $G_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, Φ , treated as "couplings"). These consistency (the vanishing) relations are also interpreted as the equations for string propagation in such external fields. To describe a class of consistent coset-space string compactifications, we first exploit these equations to lowest order, namely [6]

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^G = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} H_\mu^{\lambda\sigma} H_{\nu\lambda\sigma} + 2\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Phi$$

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^B = \nabla_\lambda H_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - 2\nabla_\lambda \Phi H_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$$

$$\beta^\Phi = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \frac{D-26}{48\pi^2} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ -R + \frac{1}{12} H^2 + 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 - 4\nabla^2\Phi \right\} \quad (4)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ and R are Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of the σ -model target space, $H_{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv 3\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\lambda]}$ and $H^2 \equiv H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} H^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ (the 3-form H is linked to torsion T).

In all the treatment below we set $\Phi = \text{const.}$ The presence of torsion modifies both the connection and Riemann tensor according to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu(sym.)} &\longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_{\nu\rho}^\mu \equiv \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu(sym.)} - H_{\nu\rho}^\mu, \\ R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &\longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \nabla_\rho H_{\mu\nu\sigma} - \nabla_\sigma H_{\mu\nu\rho} + H_{\lambda\mu\rho} H_{\sigma\nu}^\lambda - H_{\lambda\mu\sigma} H_{\rho\nu}^\lambda, \\ R_{\mu\nu} &\longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}_{[\mu\nu]} + \tilde{R}_{(\mu\nu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and the requirement of absence of conformal anomaly to one-loop implies vanishing of the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of generalized Ricci tensor [7, 5]:

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^G = \tilde{R}_{(\mu\nu)} = 0, \quad \beta_{\mu\nu}^B = \tilde{R}_{[\mu\nu]} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Thus, at this order, one searches for the desired solutions among $\widetilde{\text{Ricci-flat}}$ spaces, to be extracted from the variety of coset spaces. Also, we have to ensure $\beta^\Phi = 0$.

3. The Relevant Coset Spaces

The vielbeins $e^a(y) = e^a_\mu(y)dy^\mu$ for a coset-space G/H are obtained from the Lie-algebra valued 1-form $V(y) \equiv L^{-1}(y)dL(y) = e^a(y)I_a + e^i(y)I_i$ in which the generators I_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \dim H$ (or I_a , $a = 1, 2, \dots, \dim G/H$) generate Lie algebra of the subgroup H (or tangent space of G/H at the unit of Lie group G) and satisfy

$$[I_a, I_b] = f^i_{ab}I_i + f^c_{ab}I_c, \quad [I_i, I_j] = f^k_{ij}I_k, \quad [I_i, I_a] = f^b_{ia}I_b. \quad (7)$$

The following main classes of coset spaces are to be mentioned.

- (i) Group manifolds (with a Lie group G) equivalent to $(G \times G)/G$;
- (ii) Symmetric spaces [typical representatives (TRs): N -spheres $S^N = \frac{SO(N+1)}{SO(N)}$ and Grassmannians $Gr_{N,k} = \frac{SO(N)}{SO(N-k) \times SO(k)}$]. For these, $f^c_{ab} = 0$ in (7);
- (iii) Nonsymmetric spaces, splitting into
 - isotropy-reducible ones [TRs are Stiefel manifolds $SO(N)/SO(N-k)$],
 - isotropy irreducible ones [TR is the Berger manifold $Sp(2)/SU(2)$].

Symmetric spaces (ii) possess no torsion; group manifolds admit torsion, but are inappropriate since they do not yield [8] chiral fermions. Thus, we are left with the class (iii). But, the •-subclass contains coset manifolds with complicated structure of their spaces of Riemannian metrics described by many parameters (“moduli”). We restrict ourselves to the case of minimal possible number $n = 1$ of moduli. Note that moduli space of both symmetric spaces and nonsymmetric isotropy-irreducible (NSII) ones, is 1-dimensional (homothety of metrics is the relevant parameter). Thus, we choose the ••-subclass of NSII coset spaces.

These coset spaces were completely described by Manturov and Wolf [9, 10].

Table 1. NSII (Manturov-Wolf) coset spaces of dimension ≤ 24

Coset space G/H	Reduction $M^{26} \rightarrow$	Coset space G/H	Reduction $M^{26} \rightarrow$
$K^6 = G_2/SU(3)$	$\rightarrow M^{20} \times K^6$	$K_A^{15} = Sp(3)/Sp(1) \times SO(3)$	$\rightarrow M^{11} \times K_A^{15}$
$K_A^7 = Sp(2)/SU(2)$	$\rightarrow M^{19} \times K_A^7$	$K_B^{15} = SO(8)/Sp(1) \times Sp(2)$	$\rightarrow M^{11} \times K_B^{15}$
$K_B^7 = SO(7)/G_2$	$\rightarrow M^{19} \times K_B^7$	$K^{20} = SO(8)/SU(3)$	$\rightarrow M^6 \times K^{20}$
$K^{11} = G_2/SO(3)$	$\rightarrow M^{15} \times K^{11}$	$K^{24} = SU(6)/SU(2) \times SU(3)$	$\rightarrow M^2 \times K^{24}$

Setting $T^c_{ab} = \eta f^c_{ab}$ in the Maurer-Cartan equations for (generalized) curvature and torsion 2-forms, one gets expressions for $\tilde{R}^a_{bcd}$ and $\tilde{R}_{ab}$ in terms of structure constants and the coefficient η . Using the resulting formulas [5]

$$\tilde{R}^a_{bcd} = f^a_{bi}f^i_{cd} + \frac{1}{2}(1+\eta)f^a_{be}f^e_{cd} + \frac{1}{4}(1+\eta)^2[f^a_{ce}f^e_{db} - f^a_{de}f^e_{cb}], \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{R}_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{4}T^c_{ad}T^d_{cb} = f^e_{ai}f^i_{eb} + \frac{1}{4}(1-\eta)^2f^c_{ad}f^d_{cb}, \quad (9)$$

we calculate the metric β -function for the case [11] of Berger manifold (BM) to obtain the 1-loop result [4] $\beta_{ab}^{G(1)} = (13.5 - \frac{3}{2}\eta^2)\delta_{ab}$. So, the choice $\eta = \pm 3$ ensures vanishing of the metric β -function. Also, one can argue for the BM that $\beta_{ab}^B = 0$ (in fact, this holds for all coset spaces in table 1). As shown in [4], for the BM there exists such a connection (such value of η , i.e., $\eta = \pm 3\sqrt{3}$) that ensures $\beta^\Phi = 0$. Similar 1-loop analysis can be done [4] for all other NSII coset spaces.

As shows table 1, the number 10 of SCDs as well as the observed number 4, are forbidden. This dictates the necessity of (at least) two separate stages of compactification, the second one implying presence of certain nonabelian gauge field generated by isometry of the first-stage coset space. However, there is an alternative - to consider not only simple, but also product-type compactifications, see Section 5, of the form $K^i \times K^j$, $K^i \times K^j \times K^l$, and $K^i \times K^j \times K^l \times K^m$, with factors taken from the table 1.

4. Extension to the Two-Loop Order

There was an extensive study of β -functions of these generalized σ -models to two-loop order, see [12] and references therein. While there was no renormscheme (RS) ambiguity, to this order, with absent B -field, essential RS-ambiguities do appear when $B_{\mu\nu}$ is included. The two-loop expressions for $\beta_{\mu\nu}^G$, $\beta_{\mu\nu}^B$ and β^Φ , obtained in [12], depend on the 3 extra parameters f_1, p_1, p_2 characterizing RS-dependence. Let us quote the expression for $\beta_{\mu\nu}^{G(2)}$ in a particular RS:

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^G[f_1=-1] = \alpha' \tilde{R}_{(\mu\nu)} + \frac{\alpha'^2}{2} \left\{ \tilde{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}_{(\nu} \tilde{R}_{\mu)\alpha\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}^{\beta\gamma\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \tilde{R}_{\mu)\alpha\beta\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}_{\alpha(\mu\nu)\beta} (H^2)^{\alpha\beta} \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Straightforward calculation, using (10), for the concrete case of BM K_A^7 shows: With the canonical ($\eta = -1$) connection, this coset space cannot provide a solution (i.e., no RS exists) of the equation $\beta_{\mu\nu}^{G(2)} = 0$. On the contrary, at certain connections with $\eta \neq -1$, even in the scheme $f_1 = -1$ there are solutions (that follows from calculations involving (10)). Like in [5], set $\lambda = \alpha'/r^2$. Besides the trivial solution $\lambda = 0$, $\eta = \pm 3$, there exists the one for which λ is nonzero and $1 > \lambda > 0$:

$$\eta = \pm 3 - \delta, \quad \lambda = P_2(\eta)/P_4(\eta) \quad (11)$$

where δ is a small parameter; $P_2(\eta)$ and $P_4(\eta)$ are concrete polynomials of 2nd and 4th order in η .

From (11) it follows that the 2-loop consistency condition $\beta_{\mu\nu}^{G(2)} = 0$ imposes concrete relation between the magnitude of torsion and the size of manifold (compactification radius). In general, solutions of $\beta_{\mu\nu}^G = \beta^\Phi = 0$ for a NSII coset space are found by solving the system of two equations $f(G/H; \lambda, \eta) = 0$, $h(G/H; \lambda, \eta) = 0$, with definite scalar functions dependent on G/H .

5. Product-Type Compactifications

Similar analysis can be applied to the case of product spaces. The following table lists string compactifications on product coset-spaces.

Table 2. List of product compactifications

d	$[G/H]^d$	Subcritical dimension: dim= 26 - d	Euler charact- eristics
1, 2, 3, 4, 5	None	25,24,23,22,21	
6	K^6	20	$\chi \neq 0$
7	K_A^7, K_B^7	19	
8, 9, 10	None	18,17,16	
11	K^{11}	15	
12	$K^6 \times K^6$	14	$\chi \neq 0$
13	$K^6 \times K_A^7, K^6 \times K_B^7$	13	
14	$K_A^7 \times K_A^7, K_A^7 \times K_B^7, K_B^7 \times K_B^7$	12	
15	K_A^{15}, K_B^{15}	11	
16	None	10	
17	$K^6 \times K^{11}$	9	
18	$K^6 \times K^6 \times K^6$ $K_A^7 \times K^{11}, K_B^7 \times K^{11}$	8	$\chi \neq 0$ $\chi = 0$
19	$K^6 \times K^6 \times K_{A,B}^7$	7	
20	$K^{20}, K^6 \times (K^7 \times K^7)_{AA,AB,BB}$	6	
21	$K^6 \times K_{A,B}^{15},$ $(K^7 \times K^7 \times K^7)_{AAA,AAB,ABB,BBB}$	5	
22	$K^{11} \times K^{11}, (K^7 \times K^{15})_{AA,AB,BA,BB}$	4	$\chi = 0$
23	$K^6 \times K^6 \times K^{11}$	3	
24	$K^{24}, K^6 \times K^6 \times K^6 \times K^6$ $K^6 \times K^{11} \times K_{A,B}^7$	2	$\chi \neq 0$ $\chi = 0$
25	$K^6 \times K^6 \times K^6 \times K_{A,B}^7,$ $K^{11} \times (K^7 \times K^7)_{AA,AB,BB}$	1	
26	$K^6 \times K^{20}, (K^{11} \times K^{15})_{A,B}$ $K^6 \times K^6 \times (K^7 \times K^7)_{AA,AB,BB},$	0	

Again, there is no compactification leading to ten SCDs. Although the case of 4 SCDs is admissible ($d = 22$ line in the table), the five compactifying coset spaces do not yield chiral fermions: as can be shown, the Euler characteristics $\chi = 0$ for all these $d = 22$ coset spaces. Thus, we confirm: there *must be (at least) two stages* of coset-space compactification of the considered type, to obtain the realistic 4 dimensions with necessary chiral fermion (quark-lepton) families. The unique candidate for such first stage of coset-space string compactification, as shows the table, is nothing but the $d = 12$ space $K^6 \times K^6$ with $\chi = 4$, which leads to 14 dimensions and chiral fermions therein.

6. Concluding Remarks

1) We arrived at the necessity, even using product coset spaces, of at least 2 stages of compactification. The number 10 of SCDs is forbidden, from which we conclude that the considered compactifications supply string vacua differing from the known $10d$ (super)string theories. 2) In fact, $H \neq T$ since $dT \neq 0$, which follows from explicit calculation. This dictates to include [5] the Lorentz Chern-Simons 3-form ω_{3L} . 3) Dilaton field Φ , playing a special role, requires detailed account of string loops, see [13]. 4) Besides the approximate approach used so far, nonperturbative construction of a complete solution is certainly needed. A question also arises whether relevant theory in 14 dimensions, based on this unique compactification and yet to be constructed, may have some connection to the (bosonic sector of) recently proposed [14] supersymmetric theories in 14 (i.e. 11+3) dimensions.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Prof. J.Klauder and Prof. M.Tonin for their interest in the topic. He acknowledges Prof. I.Lovas and Prof. T.Hadhazy for their kind hospitality during the conference. This work was supported in part by the CRDF award No. UP1-309 and by the grant INTAS-93-1038-ext.

Note

a. E-mail: omgavr@bitp.kiev.ua

References

1. M.B. Green, J.H. Schwartz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1987, p. 1065.
2. S. Ketov, *Introduction to the Quantum Theory of Strings and Superstrings*, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1990, p. 369, in Russian.
3. P.G.O. Freund, *Phys. Lett.* **151B** (1985) 387; A. Casher, P. Englert, H. Nicolai and A. Taormina, *Phys. Lett.* **162B** (1985) 121.

4. A.M. Gavrilik, Preprint ITF-87-82P, Kiev, 1987.
5. L. Castellani and D. Lüst, *Nucl. Phys.* **B296** (1988) 143.
6. E.S. Fradkin and A.A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* **158B** (1985) 316; **160B** (1985) 69; C. Callan, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and M. Perry, *Nucl. Phys.* **B262** (1985) 593.
7. T.L. Curtright and C. Zachos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53** (1984) 1799; E. Braaten, T.L. Curtright and C. Zachos *Nucl. Phys.* **B260** (1985) 630.
8. Y.-S. Wu and A. Zee, *J. Math. Phys.* **25** (1984) 2696.
9. O.V. Manturov, *DAN SSSR* **141** (1961) 792; *ibid.* 1034.
10. J.A. Wolf, *Acta Math.* **120** (1968) 59.
11. A.M. Gavrilik, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **65** (1985) 155.
12. R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B293** (1987) 385.
13. C.G. Callan, C. Lovelace, C. Nappi and S. Yost, *Nucl. Phys.* **B288** (1987) 525.
14. I. Bars, *Phys. Lett.* **403B** (1997) 257; E. Sezgin, *Phys. Lett.* **403B** (1997) 265; M. Nishino, *Nucl. Phys.* **B523** (1998) 450; I. Rudychiev, E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **68** (1998) 285.